**Source Evaluation Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Exceeds Standard** | **Meets Standard** | **Approaches Standard** | **Below Standard** |
| **OPCVL**  **Evaluation** | Analysis and evaluation of origins, purpose and content is detailed and accurate with explicit reference to the value and limitations. | Analysis and evaluation of origins, purpose and content is accurate, but value and limitations could be more detailed. | Analysis and evaluation of origins, purpose, content, value and limitations is present, but limited. | Attempts to analyze source, but lacks evaluation of origin, purpose, content, value and/or limitations; or analysis is at times inaccurate |
| **Language technique**  **Analysis** | Analysis using specific language techniques is thorough and detailed; effects of techniques clear. | Analysis using specific language techniques is accurate with some detail; effects of techniques present, but lack clarity. | Analysis present, but needs detail and development of language techniques; alludes to effects of techniques. | Describes rather than analyzes sources using language techniques; no effects present, and/or effects noted without reference to a specific technique. |

**Total: /25**